UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/747,774	12/29/2003	Kristine B. Fuimaono	51638/AW/W112	6472
	7590 12/11/200 RKER & HALE, LLP	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 7068		BOUCHELLE, LAURA A		
PASADENA, (A 91109-7008		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		10/747,	774	FUIMAONO, KRISTINE B.		
		Examin	ər	Art Unit		
		LAURA	A. BOUCHELLE	3763		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any n	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commodified for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply peply received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF To sof 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no on the inunication. The inunication attutory period will apply and or will, by statute, cause the approximation of the inunication of the	THIS COMMUNICA event, however, may a reply will expire SIX (6) MONTHS pplication to become ABANI	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this of DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matters	-	e merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	re withdrawn from c				
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.				
10) -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any obje Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	: a) ☐ accepted or lection to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeyance ired if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fortion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	nmary (PTO-413) /lail Date rmal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/747,774 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eggers et al (US 6047700) in view of Tu et al (US 5971968). Eggers discloses an irrigation and ablation probe comprising a probe body 90 that is generally rigid (col. 4, lines 27-20), wherein the distal end is bent at an angle (col. 20, lines 7-12, see Fig. 9), tubing 18 for delivering fluid, a tip electrode 104, and a handle 204. Eggers discloses that the probe is 10 to 20 cm (4-8 inches) or more in length (Col. 8, lines 44-46).
- 3. Claim 1 differs from Eggers in calling for the electrode to have irrigation openings and the tubing to extend through the probe lumen. Claim 2 calls for the irrigation fluid introducing means to be an infusion tube. Tu teaches a catheter probe having a tip electrode 11 having irrigation holes 74, 75 therein and tubing 54 for delivering fluid therethrough. Including the irrigation means on the inside of the probe is beneficial because it allows the device to be more easily inserted into the body and allows the device to be used in any orientation while providing irrigation fluid to the target site. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Eggers to include the irrigation tubing extending through the probe lumen and have the irrigation holes in the electrode as taught by Tu so that the target site can be irrigated and the device is more convenient to use.

Art Unit: 3763

- 4. Regarding claim 12, Tu teaches that the tip electrode may be porous as is well known in the art (Col. 6, lines 66-67).
- 5. Regarding claim 13, Tu discloses that the probe has a temperature sensing means (Col. 4, lines 38-39)
- 6. Claims 3-7, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eggers in vie of Tu as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Alt (US 5411527). Claims 3, 7, 17 further differ from Eggers in view of Tu in calling for the probe to include a stiffening wire. Alt teaches a device for treating atrial fibrillation comprising a probe having a stiffening wire 30 that straightens and stiffens the tube sufficiently to be passed through a puncture in the chest wall (Col. 15, lines 37-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Eggers in view of Tu to include a stiffening wire in the probe as taught by Alt so that the probe is straight and stiff enough to be inserted through a puncture in the chest wall.
- 7. Claims 8, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eggers in view of Tu in view of Alt as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Lucas et al (US 5795332). Claim 8 differs from the teachings above in calling for the stiffening wire to be stainless steel. Claim 9 differs in calling for the wire to be a malleable material. Lucas teaches a catheter having a stiffening wire made of stainless steel (a malleable metal) to provide the desired rigidity to the catheter (Col. 6, lines 44-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Eggers in view of Tu in view of Alt to have the stiffening wire made of stainless steel because it is well known in the art that stainless steel can be used to form stiffening wires.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,774 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments, see page 6, filed 8/29/08, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-17 under Tu, Swanson, Alt, Lucas, and Sterman have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Eggers in view of Tu, etc.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAURA A. BOUCHELLE whose telephone number is (571)272-2125. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,774 Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 517-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763 Laura A Bouchelle Examiner Art Unit 3763

/Laura A Bouchelle/ Examiner, Art Unit 3763